

Small groups of odd type

Adrien Deloro[†] and Eric Jaligot[‡]

[†]Rutgers University [‡]CNRS, Lyon 1

Barcelona, 4th November 2008

A small group of finite Morley rank

PSL_2

A closer view

PSL₂

In this section:

- 1 Groups and rank
 - Groups, rank, and algebraic groups
 - Groups of low Morley rank
 - Groups of finite MR and finite groups
- 2 PSL₂
 - Early results
 - Description
 - Analysis
- 3 Results
 - The notion of smallness and results
 - Difficulties and solutions
 - The main tool

\aleph_1 -categorical groups

Groups of finite Morley rank appeared as \aleph_1 -categorical groups.

Theorem (Baldwin, Zilber)

A simple group has finite Morley rank iff it is \aleph_1 -categorical.

In the 80's, Borovik and Poizat suggested a more naive approach.

Theorem (Poizat)

A group has finite Morley rank iff there is a rank function rk on the set of interpretable sets, which behaves like a dimension ought to.

Morley rank and Zariski dimension

- Typical example of a group of finite Morley rank :
an alg. group over an alg. closed field,
equipped with the Zariski dimension.
- an infinite field of finite Morley rank is alg. closed (Macintyre)
- slogan :

*groups of finite Morley rank generalize
alg. groups ranked by the Zariski dimension*

Ranked groups and algebraic groups

- Analogies :
 - chain conditions
 - connected components for definable subgroups " H^o "
 - generation lemmas (in part., G' is definable!)
 - presence of a field (sometimes)

Conjecture (Cherlin-Zilber)

A *simple* infinite group of finite Morley rank is (isomorphic to) an algebraic group over an algebraically closed field.

Rank 1 and 2

Let us attack the conjecture inductively.

Fact: There are no simple groups of Morley rank 1 or 2.

- Groups of Morley rank 1 are abelian (Reineke).
- Groups of Morley rank 2 are solvable (Cherlin).

Now what about groups of rank 3?

Rank 3 and PSL₂

- Some tapas:
 - $SL_2 = \{M \in GL_2 : \det M = 1\}$
 - $Z(SL_2) = \{\pm Id\}$
 - $PSL_2 = SL_2/Z(SL_2)$

PSL₂ is the **smallest simple algebraic group**:

Zariski dimension = 3, Lie rank = 1, Morley rank = 3 rk \mathbb{K}

- PSL₂: only simple algebraic group of Zariski dimension 3
- PSL₂: only simple algebraic group of Lie rank 1
- PSL₂ is the **basis of inductive arguments** → crucial piece

Main question of the talk:

Identify PSL₂ among small groups of finite Morley rank

Rank 3 and bad groups

Theorem (Cherlin)

A simple group of MR 3 is either $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{K})$ or a simple bad group.

- A **bad group** would be a weird non-algebraic configuration.
 - No fields involved.
 - Disjoint union of maximal subgroups.
 - No involutions.
- Open for 30 years!
- Moral:

“low Morley rank” not a good notion of smallness

Groups of finite Morley rank and groups of Morley rank 0

Conjecture (Cherlin-Zilber)

A simple *infinite* group of finite Morley rank is an algebraic group over an ACF.

Theorem (A logician's CFSG)

A simple group *of Morley rank 0* is

- the finite version of an algebraic group
- or something else.

Well... you know logicians.

Was the previous slide sabotage?

Theorem (CFSG)

A finite simple group is

- cyclic $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$
- alternate A_n
- the finite version of an alg. group (Chevalley twists welcome)
- or one of 26 “sporadic” known exceptions.

- the only infinite cyclic group, \mathbb{Z} , is not ω -stable
- the infinite version of A_n is not stable (not M_C)
- fields of finite Morley rank do not allow Chevalley twists
- the sporadics *may* disappear when one goes to infinite objects

Borovik's program

- The Cherlin-Zilber Conjecture looks like a simpler CFSG
idea (Borovik): imitate CFSG
- (possible gain: a “generic”, simpler CFSG)
- Work with 2-elements, involutions, and their centralizers
- fortunately: good 2-Sylow theory

Four types

- Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup. Then $S^\circ = U * T$, with
 - U of bounded exponent is **2-unipotent**
i.e. definable, connected, of exponent 2^k
 - $T \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}^d$ is a **2-torus** of Prüfer rank d
 \mathbb{Z}_{2^∞} is the Prüfer 2-group $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^{2^k} = 1 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- One thus defines 4 “types” depending on structure of S°

	$T = 1$	$T \neq 1$
$U = 1$	2^\perp	odd
$U \neq 1$	even	mixt

- correspond to the char. of the expected underlying field

State of the Case-Division

- Cases $U \neq 1$ have been **solved** (Altinel, Borovik, Cherlin).
 - Cases $U = 1$ are **open**.
 - The case $U = T = 1$ looks so **hard** the Conjecture might fail.
 - no Feit-Thompson Theorem
- FT: finite simple groups have involutions... (would kill bad groups!)

Yet one can work in *odd type* $S^\circ \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}^d$ ($U = 1$ but $T \neq 1$).

Problem: **Identify PSL₂ among small groups of odd type.**

In this section:

- 1 Groups and rank
 - Groups, rank, and algebraic groups
 - Groups of low Morley rank
 - Groups of finite MR and finite groups
- 2 PSL_2
 - Early results
 - Description
 - Analysis
- 3 Results
 - The notion of smallness and results
 - Difficulties and solutions
 - The main tool

The Hrushovski analysis

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Let a non-solvable group of finite MR G act definably and faithfully on a strongly minimal set. Then $G \simeq \text{PSL}_2$ and $\text{rk } G = 3$.

In practice, actions arise from coset spaces.

Corollary (Cherlin)

Let G be a non-solvable group of finite Morley rank with a definable subgroup of corank 1. Then $G \simeq \text{PSL}_2$ (and $\text{rk } G = 3$).

Moral: **try to understand the action on coset spaces**

Delahan-Nesin identification

Caution: this slide contains technical material.

Another identification result using actions.

Theorem (Delahan-Nesin)

*Let G be a group of finite Morley rank. Assume that G is an infinite **split Zassenhaus group**. Assume further that the stabilizer of two points contains an involution. Then $G \simeq \text{PSL}_2$.*

A Zassenhaus group is a 2-transitive group (G, X) s.t. $G_{x,y,z} = 1$. It is split if there is $N \triangleleft G_x$ s.t. $G_x = N \rtimes G_{x,y}$.

The setting

- Moral of last slide: useful abstract identification results exist
- From now on it will suffice to
 - fix an involution $i \in G$
 - fix a Borel $B \geq C^\circ(i)$
 - Recall that a Borel is a maximal definable, connected, solvable subgroup
 - split $B \simeq \mathbb{K}_+ \rtimes \mathbb{K}^\times$
 - understand G/B
- Nesin's machinery can then recognize PSL₂
 - **Question: find natural properties of PSL₂ characterizing it**
- Latin letters for the abstract group; Greek for the true PSL₂.

Study of PSL₂

Let $\mathbb{K} \models \text{ACF}_{\neq 2}$. Let's have a look at $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{K})$.

- $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix}$
- $\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & a \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, a \in \mathbb{K}, t \in \mathbb{K}^\times \right\} > C^\circ(\iota)$ is a Borel
- $\beta' = F^\circ(\beta) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a \in \mathbb{K} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{K}_+$
- $\Theta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathbb{K}^\times \right\} \simeq \mathbb{K}^\times$
- Then $\beta = F^\circ(\beta) \rtimes \Theta \simeq \mathbb{K}_+ \rtimes \mathbb{K}^\times$

Modelling the torus

- *Observations* in PSL₂:

Let $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma^\circ$. Note that ι inverts $F^\circ(\beta)$.

One has $\Theta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathbb{K}^\times \right\} = C^\circ(\iota)$.

Let $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^\circ$. Note that ω inverts Θ .

- *Modelisation* in G : for an involution $w \notin B$, let

$$T[w] := \{b \in B, b^w = b^{-1}\}$$

- $T[w]$ will be our model of the torus.
- Target: $B = (F^\circ(B))^{-i} \rtimes T[w]$.

Using $T[w]$

$i \in G$, $B \geq C^\circ(i)$ a Borel.

for an involution $w \notin B$, $T[w] = \{b \in B, b^w = b^{-1}\}$

- For generic w , $\text{rg } T[w] \geq \text{rg } (F^\circ(B))^{-i}$.

Theorem (Zilber)

Let $A \rtimes T$ be a group of finite Morley rank with A, T two abelian definable infinite subgroups s.t. T is faithful and A is T -minimal.

Then there is a definable field \mathbb{K} s.t. $A \simeq \mathbb{K}_+$ and $T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$.

- If $A \subseteq F^\circ(B)^{-i}$, ranks would force $T[w] \simeq \mathbb{K}^\times \dots$
- ... but $T[w]$ has no reason to be a group!
- As $T[w] \subseteq B \cap B^w$, it would be good to

control intersections of Borel subgroups

In this section:

- 1 Groups and rank
 - Groups, rank, and algebraic groups
 - Groups of low Morley rank
 - Groups of finite MR and finite groups
- 2 PSL₂
 - Early results
 - Description
 - Analysis
- 3 Results
 - The notion of smallness and results
 - Difficulties and solutions
 - The main tool

Locally[◦] solvable[◦] groups

- Recall MR is no suitable notion of smallness
(as we are unable to solve $\mathrm{MR} = 3$)
- *Observation* in $(\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}_2$:
if $A < G$ is infinite and abelian, $N_G^\circ(A)$ is solvable.
- Fails for finite A (e.g. $A = Z(\mathrm{SL}_2)$)
- characterizes $(\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}_2$ among non-solvable **alg.** groups

Definition

A group G is **locally[◦] solvable[◦]** if: whenever $A < G$ is infinite and abelian, $N_G^\circ(A)$ is solvable.

- Nothing to do with f.g. subgroups; follows another tradition...
- ...from finite group theory and Thompson's papers.

Results

Theorem

Let G be a locally^o solvable^o non-solvable connected group of finite MR.

Assume:

- $S^o \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}^d$ with $d \geq 1$
- and for any involution i $C_G^o(i)$ solvable.
- $G \not\cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{K})$ for $\mathbb{K} \models \mathrm{ACF}_{\neq 2}$.

Then $C_G^o(i)$ is always a Borel and either:

- 1 $S \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}$
- 2 $S \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty} \rtimes \langle i^g \rangle$ and $C^o(i)$ is abelian
- 3 $S \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^\infty}^2$ and the three involutions are conjugate

Complications

- Since the first counting arguments involving $T[w]$, the proofs have continuously grown more complex.
- Works by Nesin, J., Cherlin and J., D.
- Main issue: **control intersections of Borel subgroups**

Keywords

Here are some ingredients of a proof:

- strongly real elements and $T[w]$ sets
- $(0, d)$ -Sylow subgroups
- **Rigidity Lemmas**
- The Bender method, Burdges' style, revisited
- concentration of semi-simple elements and contradiction!

A key observation

- Fact:

In $(\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}_2$, Borel subgroups meet on tori

(whatever that means)

- Question: can one mimic this fact in locally^o solvable^o groups?
- More precisely: can one prove that distinct Borel subgroups don't share unipotent elements?
- Subtlety: “unipotent elements” is non-sense to us. Work with unipotent *subgroups*. Define them first!

Torsion unipotency

Observation:

If $\mathbb{K} \models \text{ACF}_p$, then $F^\circ(\beta) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & * \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \right) = \{g \in \beta : g^p = 1\}$.

Definition

$U \leq G$ is *p-unipotent* if it is definable, connected, nilpotent, of exponent p^k .

Fact (Intersection control)

If G is locally^o solvable^o and $U \leq G$ is p -unipotent, then U lies in a *unique* Borel, and actually in its Fitting subgroup.

(In PSL_2 , $\beta \cap \beta^\omega$ is a torus indeed, thus so is $T[\omega]$)

Burdges' unipotence

Fact (Burdges)

For each integer $d \geq 1$, there is a notion of $(0, d)$ -unipotence (*gradual unipotence*) and a d -unipotence radical

- d is a **unipotence degree** (more or less heavy)
- problems
 - the d -unipotence radical is not always in the Fitting!
the **heaviest** radical (last non-trivial) is in it.
 - Caution! two Borels can share d -unipotence.
 - two Borels of degree d can even share d -unipotence!

Rigidity Lemma

Fact (intersection control)

If G is locally^o solvable^o and $U \leq G$ is p -unipotent, then U is in a **unique** Borel, and actually in its Fitting subgroup.

Lemma

Let G be locally^o solvable^o and B a Borel with unipotence degree d . Let $U \triangleleft B$ be a $(0, d)$ -unipotent subgroup. Then B is the only Borel of degree d that contains U .

- controlling the intersection $B \cap B^w$ is possible...
- ... which will enable us to split B . We're done!
- Moral: **Burdges' 0-unipotence allows intersection control**

Acknowledgments

Thank you!